

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/032,721	KUBOTA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kevin M Bernatz	1773	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kevin M Bernatz.

(2) Michael Panian.

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 January 2005

**Time:** noon

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
all

Claims discussed:  
13,14,31


Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the case was in condition for allowance, except for claims 13, 14 and 31. The Examiner noted that the allowable subject matter of an initial paramagnetic layer comprising a cobalt alloy having a thickness of less than 1.5 Angstroms could be inserted into the above identified claims to bring the case into condition for allowance. Applicants failed to reach a decision in a timely manner..